

Direct and inverse problems
of mathematical physics
(Newark, DE, 1997), 333–342,
Int. Soc. Anal. Appl. Comput., 5,
Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2000
MR 2001i:46055

Denseness of $C_0^\infty(R^n)$ in the generalized Sobolev spaces $W^{m,p(x)}(R^n)$

by
Stefan Samko

1. Introduction

The spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$, $\Omega \subseteq R^n$, with variable order $p(x)$ were studied recently. We refer to the pioneer work by I.I. Sharapudinov [6] and the later papers by O.Kováčik and J. Rákosník [2] and by the author [3]-[5]. In the paper [2] the Sobolev type spaces $W^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$ were also studied. D.E.Edmunds and J. Rákosník [1] dealt with the problem of denseness of C^∞ -functions in $W^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$ and proved this denseness under some special monotonicity-type condition on $p(x)$. We prove that $C_0^\infty(R^n)$ is dense in $W^{m,p(x)}(R^n)$ without any monotonicity condition, requiring instead that $p(x)$ is somewhat better than just continuous - satisfies the Dini-Lipschitz condition. For this purpose we prove the boundedness of the convolution operators $\frac{1}{\epsilon^n} \mathcal{K} \left(\frac{x}{\epsilon} \right) * f$ in the space $L^{p(x)}$ uniform with respect to ϵ . This is the main result, the above mentioned denseness being its consequence, in fact.

In the one dimensional periodical case a similar result for the uniform boundedness in $L^{p(x)}$ of some family of operators K_ϵ , depending on ϵ , was proved by I.I.Sharapudinov [7].

2. Preliminaries

We refer to the papers [2]-[6] for basics of the spaces $L^{p(x)}$, but remind their definition and some important properties.

Let $p(x)$ be a measurable function on a domain $\Omega \subseteq R^n$ satisfying the condition $1 \leq p(x) \leq \infty$ and let

$$E_\infty = E_\infty(p) = \{x \in \Omega : p(x) = \infty\}.$$

We denote

$$P = \sup_{x \in \Omega \setminus E_\infty(p)} p(x), \quad p_0 = \inf_{x \in \Omega} p(x).$$

where sup and inf stand for esssup and essinf, respectively. By $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ we denote the space of measurable functions $f(x)$ on Ω such that

$$I_p(f) := \int_{\Omega \setminus E_\infty} |f(x)|^{p(x)} dx < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad f(x) \in L^\infty(E_\infty).$$

Let

$$\|f\|_{(p)} = \inf \left\{ \lambda > 0 : I_p \left(\frac{f}{\lambda} \right) \leq 1 \right\}. \quad (1)$$

In case of $P < \infty$ the space $L^{p(x)}$ is a Banach space with respect to the norm

$$\|f\|_p = \|f\|_{(p)} + \|f\|_{L^\infty(E_\infty)} . \quad (2)$$

We emphasize that $\|f\|_p$ is finite for any $f(x) \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ in the case $P = \infty$ as well, but $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ is not a linear space and $\|f\|_p$ is not a norm in this case.

We note the following properties of the space $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$:

a) *the Hölder inequality* ([6],[2],[3]) :

$$\int_{\Omega} |f(x)\varphi(x)| dx \leq k\|f\|_p\|\varphi\|_q \quad (3),$$

where $1 \leq p(x) \leq \infty$, $\frac{1}{p(x)} + \frac{1}{q(x)} \equiv 1$, $k = \sup_{x \in \Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)} + \sup_{x \in \Omega} \frac{1}{q(x)}$;

b) *inequalities between $I_p(f)$ and $\|f\|_{(p)}$* ([6],[2],[3]) :

$$\|f\|_{(p)}^P \leq I_p(f) \leq \|f\|_{(p)}^{p_0} , \quad \text{if } \|f\|_{(p)} \leq 1 , \quad (4)$$

$$\|f\|_{(p)}^{p_0} \leq I_p(f) \leq \|f\|_{(p)}^P , \quad \text{if } \|f\|_{(p)} \geq 1 , \quad (5)$$

the left-hand side inequality in (4) and the right-hand side one in (5) being trivial in the case $P = \infty$;

c) *estimates for the norm of the characteristic function of a set* ([3]) :

$$|E|^{\frac{1}{P}} \leq \|\chi_E\|_{(p)} \leq |E|^{\frac{1}{p_0}} , \quad \text{if } |E| \leq 1 , \quad E \subseteq \Omega \setminus E_\infty(p), \quad (6)$$

the signs of the inequalities being opposite if $|E| \geq 1$; here $|E|$ is the Lebesgue measure of E ; as in (4)-(5), the corresponding inequalities are trivial in the case $P = \infty$;

d) *the embedding theorem* ([3]) : let $1 \leq r(x) \leq p(x) \leq P < \infty$ for $x \in \Omega$ and $|\Omega| < \infty$. Then $L^{p(x)} \subseteq L^{r(x)}$ and

$$\|f\|_r \leq (a_2 + (1 - a_1)|\Omega|)\|f\|_p \quad (7)$$

where $a_1 = \inf_{\Omega} \frac{r(x)}{p(x)}$, $a_2 = \sup_{\Omega} \frac{r(x)}{p(x)}$, see also [2] for this imbedding without the restriction $p(x) \leq P < \infty$, but with worse constants $a_2 = 1$ and $1 - a_1 = 1$.

e) *denseness of step functions* ([3]): functions of the form $\sum_{k=1}^m c_k \chi_{\Omega_k}$, $\Omega_k \subset \Omega$, $|\Omega_k| < \infty$, with constant c_k , form a dense set in $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$.

As in [4]-[5], we use the weak Lipschits condition (Dini-Lipschits condition):

$$|p(x) - p(y)| \leq \frac{A}{\log \frac{1}{|x-y|}} , \quad |x - y| \leq \frac{1}{2} . \quad (8)$$

Everywhere below we assume that $P < \infty$.

3. Statements of the main results

Let $\mathcal{K}(x)$ be a measurable function with support in the ball $B_R = B(0, R)$ of a radius $R < \infty$, and let

$$\mathcal{K}_\epsilon(x) = \frac{1}{\epsilon^n} \mathcal{K}\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right) .$$

We consider the family of operators

$$K_\epsilon f = \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{K}_\epsilon(x-y) f(y) dy, \quad (9)$$

Ω being a bounded domain in R^n .

For the given domain Ω we define the larger domain

$$\Omega_R = \{x : \text{dist}(x, \Omega) \leq R\} \supseteq \Omega.$$

Let $p(x)$ be a function defined in Ω_R such that

$$1 \leq p(x) \leq P < \infty, \quad x \in \Omega_R. \quad (10)$$

Let also $\frac{1}{p(x)} + \frac{1}{q(x)} \equiv 1$ and

$$Q = \begin{cases} \sup_{x \in \Omega_R} q(x) = \frac{p_0}{p_0-1}, & \text{if } |E_1(p)| = 0 \\ \infty, & \text{if } |E_1(p)| > 0 \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

where $E_1(p) = \{x \in \Omega_R : p(x) = 1\}$.

Theorem 1. *Let $\mathcal{K}(x) \in L^Q(B_R)$ and let $p(x)$ satisfy (10) and (8) for all x and $y \in \Omega_R$. Then the operators K_ϵ are uniformly bounded from $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ into $L^{p(x)}(\Omega_R)$:*

$$\|K_\epsilon f\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega_R)} \leq c \|f\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)} \quad (12)$$

where c does not depend on ϵ .

Theorem 2 . *Let $p(x)$ and $\mathcal{K}(x)$ satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 1 and*

$$\int_{B_R} \mathcal{K}(y) dy = 1. \quad (13)$$

Then (9) is an identity approximation in $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$:

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \|K_\epsilon f - f\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega_R)} = 0, \quad f(x) \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega). \quad (14)$$

Let

$$f_\epsilon(x) = \frac{1}{\epsilon^n |B(0, 1)|} \int_{y \in \Omega, |y-x| < \epsilon} f(y) dy \quad (15)$$

be the Steklov mean of the function $f(y)$.

Corollary 1. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 1 on $p(x)$,*

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \|f_\epsilon - f\|_{L^{p(x)}(\Omega)} = 0. \quad (16)$$

Remark 1. The statement (16) is an analogue of mean continuity property for $L^{p(x)}$ -spaces, but with respect to the averaged "shift" operator (15). In the standard form, the mean continuity property $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \|f(x+h) - f(x)\|_p = 0$, generally speaking, is not valid for variable exponents $p(x)$ and, moreover, there exist functions $p(x)$ and $f(x) \in L^{p(x)}$ such that $f(x+h_k) \notin L^{p(x)}$ for some $h_k \rightarrow 0$, see [2], Example 2.9 and Theorem 2.10.

Corollary 2. Let $1 \leq p(x) \leq P < \infty, x \in R^n$, and $p(x)$ satisfy the condition (8) in any ball in R^n (where A may depend on the ball). Then C_0^∞ is dense in $L^{p(x)}(R^n)$.

Remark 2. As it was shown in [2], $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ is dense in $L^{p(x)}(\Omega), 1 \leq p(x) \leq P < \infty$, without requiring that $p(x)$ satisfies the condition (8).

Let $W^{m,p(x)} = W^{m,p(x)}(R^n)$ be the Sobolev type space of functions $f(x) \in L^{p(x)}(R^n)$ which have all the distributional derivatives $D^j f(x) \in L^{p(x)}(R^n), 0 \leq |j| \leq m$, and let

$$\|f\|_{W^{m,p(x)}} = \sum_{|j| \leq m} \|D^j f\|_p .$$

Theorem 3. Let $p(x)$ satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 3. Then $C_0^\infty(R^n)$ is dense in $W^{m,p(x)}(R^n)$.

4. Proof of Theorem 1.

We assume that

$$\|f\|_p \leq 1 . \quad (17)$$

By (4)-(5) it suffices to show that

$$I_p(K_\epsilon f) = \int_{\Omega_R} |K_\epsilon f(x)|^{p(x)} dx \leq c \quad (18)$$

with $c > 0$ not depending on ϵ . By the Hölder inequality (3) it is easy to show that $|K_\epsilon f(x)| \leq c$ for all $x \in \Omega_R$ and $\epsilon \geq \epsilon^o(c = c(\epsilon^o)$ in this case). Therefore, it suffices to prove (18) for $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon^o$ under some choice of ϵ^o .

Let

$$\Omega_R = \cup_{k=1}^N \omega_R^k$$

be any partition of Ω_R into small parts ω_R^k comparable with the given ϵ :

$$diam \omega_R^k \leq \epsilon, k = 1, 2, \dots, N; N = N(\epsilon).$$

We represent the integral in (18) as

$$I_p(K_\epsilon f) = \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{\omega_R^k} \left| \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{K}_\epsilon(x-y) f(y) dy \right|^{p(x)-p_k+p_k} dx \quad (19)$$

with

$$p_k = \inf_{x \in \omega_R^k} p(x) \leq \inf_{x \in \omega_R^k} p(x) \quad (20)$$

where some larger portions $\Omega_R^k \supset \omega_R^k$ will be chosen later comparable with ϵ :

$$diam \Omega_R^k \leq m\epsilon, \quad m > 1 . \quad (21)$$

We shall prove the uniform estimate

$$A_k(x, \epsilon) := \left| \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{K}_\epsilon(x-y) f(y) dy \right|^{p(x)-p_k} \leq c, \quad x \in \omega_R^k \quad (22)$$

where $c > 0$ does not depend on $x \in \omega_R^k$, k and $\epsilon \in (0, \epsilon^o)$ with some $\epsilon^o > 0$. To this end, we first obtain the estimate

$$A_k(x, \epsilon) \leq c_1 \epsilon^{-n[p(x)-p_k]}, \quad x \in \Omega_R. \quad (23)$$

To get (23), we differ the cases $Q = \infty$ and $Q < \infty$.

Let $Q = \infty$. We have

$$A_k(x, \epsilon) \leq \left(\frac{M}{\epsilon^n} \int_{\Omega} \chi_{B(0, \epsilon R)}(y) |f(y)| dy \right)^{p(x)-p_k}$$

where $M = \sup_{B_R} |\mathcal{K}(x)|$. By the Hölder inequality (3) and the assumption (17) we obtain

$$A_k(x, \epsilon) \leq \left(\frac{Mk}{\epsilon^n} \|\chi_{B(0, \epsilon R)}\|_q \right)^{p(x)-p_k}. \quad (24)$$

According to (2) we have

$$\|\chi_{B(0, \epsilon R)}\|_q = \sup_{E_\infty(q)} \chi_{B(0, \epsilon R)}(x) + \|\chi_{B(0, \epsilon R)}\|_{(q)} = 1 + \|\chi_{B(0, \epsilon R)}\|_{(q)}.$$

In view of (6) we get

$$\|\chi_{B(0, \epsilon R)}\|_q \leq 1 + (\epsilon^n |B(0, R)|)^{\frac{1}{q_0}} \leq 2$$

under the assumption that

$$0 < \epsilon \leq |B(0, R)|^{-\frac{1}{n}} := \epsilon_1^o. \quad (25)$$

Then (24) provides the estimate (23) with $c_1 = (2kM)^{P-p_0}$ if $2kM \geq 1$ and $c_1 = 1$ otherwise.

Let $Q < \infty$. The estimate (23) is obtained in a similar way. Indeed, applying the Hölder inequality (3) again, we arrive at

$$A_k(x, \epsilon) \leq (k \|\mathcal{K}_\epsilon(x - y)\|_q)^{p(x)-p_k}.$$

By (4)-(5) we have

$$\|\mathcal{K}_\epsilon(x - y)\|_{(q)} = \frac{1}{\epsilon^n} \|\mathcal{K}\left(\frac{x - y}{\epsilon}\right)\|_{(q)} \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon^n} \left(\int_{\Omega \setminus E_\infty(q)} \left| \mathcal{K}\left(\frac{x - y}{\epsilon}\right) \right|^{q(y)} dy \right)^\theta$$

where $\theta = \frac{1}{Q}$ or $\theta = \frac{1}{q_0}$ depending on the fact whether the last integral in the parentheses is less or greater than 1, respectively. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{K}_\epsilon(x - y)\|_{(q)} &\leq \frac{1}{\epsilon^n} \left(\int_{|y| < R, x - \epsilon y \in \Omega \setminus E_\infty(q)} |\mathcal{K}(y)|^{q(x - \epsilon y)} dy \right)^\theta \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\epsilon^n} \left[|B_R| + \int_{|y| < R, |\mathcal{K}(y)| \geq 1} |\mathcal{K}(y)|^Q dy \right]^\theta \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon^n} \left[|B_R| + \|\mathcal{K}\|_Q^Q \right]^\theta \leq c_2 \epsilon^{-n} \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

where $c_2 = \max\{c_3^{\frac{1}{Q}}, c_3^{\frac{1}{q_0}}\}$, $c_3 = |B_R| + \|\mathcal{K}\|_Q^Q$.

Therefore, from (26) and (27) we obtain (23) in the case $Q < \infty$ as well, with $c_1 = (c_2 k)^{P-p_0}$ if $c_2 > 1$ and $c_1 = 1$ otherwise.

The estimate (23) having been proved, we observe now that by (8)

$$p(x) - p_k = |p(x) - p(\xi_k)| \leq \frac{A}{\log \frac{1}{|x-\xi_k|}}$$

where $x \in \omega_R^k$, $\xi_k \in \Omega_R^k$. Evidently,

$$|x - \xi_k| \leq \text{diam} \Omega_R^k \leq m\epsilon$$

by (21). Therefore,

$$p(x) - p_k \leq \frac{A}{\log \frac{1}{m\epsilon}} \quad (28)$$

under the assumption that

$$0 < \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{2m} =: \epsilon_2^o. \quad (29)$$

Then from (23) and (28)

$$A_k(x, \epsilon) \leq c_1 \epsilon^{-\frac{A}{\log \frac{1}{m\epsilon}}}, \quad x \in \omega_R^k, \quad (30)$$

c_1 not depending on x and being given above. Then from (30)

$$A_k(x, \epsilon) \leq c_4 := c_1 e^{2A}$$

for $x \in \omega_R^k$ and

$$0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon_3^o := \frac{1}{m^2}. \quad (31)$$

Therefore, we have the uniform estimate (22) with $c = c_1 e^{2A}$ and $0 < \epsilon \leq \epsilon^o$, $\epsilon^o = \min_{1 \leq k \leq 3} \epsilon_k^o$, ϵ_k^o being given by (25), (29) and (31).

Using the estimate (22) we obtain from (19)

$$I_p(K_\epsilon f) \leq c \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{\omega_R^k} \left| \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{K}_\epsilon(x-y) f(y) dy \right|^{p_k} dx.$$

Here p_k are constants so that we may apply the usual Minkowsky inequality for integrals and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_p(K_\epsilon f) &\leq c \sum_{k=1}^N \left\{ \int_{|y| < \epsilon R} |\mathcal{K}_\epsilon(y)| dy \left(\int_{\omega_R^k} |f(x-y)|^{p_k} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p_k}} \right\}^{p_k} \\ &= c \sum_{k=1}^N \left\{ \int_{|y| < R} |\mathcal{K}(y)| dy \left(\int_{x+\epsilon y \in \omega_R^k} |f(x)|^{p_k} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p_k}} \right\}^{p_k}. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

Obviously, the domain of integration in x in the last integral is embedded into the domain

$$\bigcup_{y \in B_{\epsilon R}} \{x : x + y \in \omega_R^k\} \quad (33)$$

which already does not depend on y . Now, we choose the sets Ω_R^k in (20), which were not determined until now, as the sets (33). Then, evidently, $\Omega_R^k \supset \omega_R^k$ and it is easily seen that

$$\text{diam } \Omega_R^k \leq (1 + 2R)\epsilon \quad (34)$$

so that the requirement (21) is satisfied with $m = 1 + 2R$.

From (32) we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_p(K_\epsilon f) &\leq c \sum_{k=1}^N \left\{ \int_{|y| < R} |\mathcal{K}(y)| dy \right\}^{p_k} \int_{\Omega_R^k} |f(x)|^{p_k} dx \\ &\leq c \left\{ \int_{|y| < R} |\mathcal{K}(y)| dy \right\}^\theta \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{\Omega_R^k \cap \Omega} |f(x)|^{p_k} dx \end{aligned}$$

where $\theta = P$ if $\int_{|y| < R} |\mathcal{K}(y)| dy \leq 1$ and $\theta = p_o$ otherwise. In view of (34), the covering $\{\omega_k = \Omega_R^k \cap \Omega\}_{k=1}^N$ has a finite multiplicity (that is, each point $x \in \Omega$ belongs simultaneously not more than to a finite number n_o of the sets ω_k , $n_o \leq 1 + (1 + 2R)^n$ in this case). Therefore,

$$I_p(K_\epsilon f) \leq c_5 \int_{\Omega} |f(x)|^{\tilde{p}(x)} dx \quad (35)$$

where

$$\tilde{p}(x) = \max_j p_j$$

the maximum being taken with respect to all the sets ω_j containing x . Evidently, $\tilde{p}(x) \leq p(x)$ for $x \in \Omega$. Then from (35) and (4)-(5) we obtain the estimate

$$I_p(K_\epsilon f) \leq c_5 \|f\|_{\tilde{p}}^{\theta_1}, \quad \theta_1 < P,$$

with $\theta_1 = \inf \tilde{p}(x)$ if $\|f\|_{\tilde{p}} \leq 1$ and $\theta_1 = \sup \tilde{p}(x)$ otherwise. Applying the imbedding theorem (7), we arrive at the final estimate

$$I_p(K_\epsilon f) \leq c_6 \|f\|_p^{\theta_1} \leq c_6.$$

5. Proof of Theorem 2.

To prove (14), we use Theorem 1, which provides the uniform boundedness of the operators K_ϵ from $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ into $L^{p(x)}(\Omega_R)$. Then, by the Banach-Steinhaus theorem it suffices to verify that (14) holds for some dense set in $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$, for example, for step functions, according to property e) of the spaces $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$. So, it is sufficient to prove (14) for the characteristic function $\chi_E(x)$ of any bounded measurable set $E \subset \Omega$. We have

$$K_\epsilon(\chi_E) - \chi_E = \int_{B_R} \mathcal{K}(y) [\chi_E(x - \epsilon y) - \chi_E(x)] dy$$

by (13). Hence

$$\|K_\epsilon(\chi_E) - \chi_E\|_P \leq \int_{B_R} |\mathcal{K}(y)| \|\chi_E(\cdot - \epsilon y) - \chi_E(x)\|_P dy \rightarrow 0$$

as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem and the P -mean continuity of functions in L^P with a constant P ($P = \sup_{x \in \Omega_R} p(x)$ in this case). Then, by (7), also

$$\|K_\epsilon(\chi_E) - \chi_E\|_p \rightarrow 0$$

with $p = p(x) \leq P < \infty$. \square

6. Proof of Corollaries

To obtain Corollary 1 from Theorem 1, it suffices to choose $\mathcal{K}(y) = \frac{1}{|B(0,1)|} \chi_{B(0,1)}(y)$.

Proof of Corollary 2. Let $\chi_N(x) = \chi_{B(0,N)}(x)$. Then the functions $f^N(x) = \chi_N(x)f(x)$ have compact support and approximate $f(x) \in L^{p(x)}(R^n)$:

$$\|f - f^N\| \leq I_p^{\frac{1}{p}}(f - f^N) = \left(\int_{|x|>N} |f(x)|^{p(x)} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \rightarrow 0$$

as $N \rightarrow \infty$.

Therefore, we may consider $f(x)$ with a compact support in the ball B_N from the very beginning. To approximate $f(x)$ by C_0^∞ , we use the identity approximation

$$f_\epsilon(x) = \int_{R^n} \mathcal{K}_\epsilon(x-t)f(t)dt = \int_{|y|<1} \mathcal{K}(y)f(x-\epsilon y)dy \quad (36)$$

where $\mathcal{K}_\epsilon(x) = \frac{1}{\epsilon^n} \mathcal{K}\left(\frac{x}{\epsilon}\right)$ and $\mathcal{K}(y) \in C_0^\infty(R^n)$ with support in the ball B_1 and such that

$$\int_{|y|<1} \mathcal{K}(y)dy = 1 .$$

Then, evidently, $f_\epsilon(x) \in C_0^\infty(R^n)$ and has compact support because $f_\epsilon(x) \equiv 0$ if $|x| > N + \epsilon$. Therefore, for $\epsilon < 1$,

$$\|f_\epsilon - f\|_{L^{p(x)}(R^n)} = \|K_\epsilon f - f\|_{L^{p(x)}(B_{N+1})} \rightarrow 0$$

as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Proof of Theorem 3.

The proof follows from Theorem 2 and Corollary 2 in two steps.

1^o. Let $f(x) \in W^{m,p(x)}(R^n)$ and let $\mu(r), 0 \leq r \leq \infty$, be a smooth step-function: $\mu(r) \equiv 1$ for $0 \leq r \leq 1$, $\mu(r) \equiv 0$ for $r \geq 2$, $\mu(r) \in C_0^\infty(R_+^1)$ and $0 \leq \mu(r) \leq 1$. Then

$$f^N(x) = \mu\left(\frac{|x|}{N}\right) f(x) \in W^{m,p(x)}(R^n) \quad (37)$$

for every $N \in R_+^1$ and has compact support in B_{2N} .

The functions (37) approximate $f(x)$ in $W^{m,p(x)}(R^n)$. Indeed, denoting $\nu_N(x) = 1 - \mu\left(\frac{|x|}{N}\right)$, so that $\nu_N(x) \equiv 0$ for $|x| < N$, and using the Leibnitz formula for differentiation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - f^N\|_{W^{m,p(x)}} &= \sum_{|j| \leq m} \|D^j(\nu_N f)\|_p \leq \sum_{|j| \leq m} \sum_{0 \leq k \leq j} c_k \|D^k(\nu_N) D^{j-k} f\|_p \\ &\leq \sum_{|j| \leq m} \|\nu_N D^j f\|_p + c \sum_{|j| \leq m} \sum_{0 < k \leq j} \|D^k(\nu_N) D^{j-k} f\|_p \\ &\leq \sum_{|j| \leq m} \|\nu_N D^j f\|_p + c \sum_{|j| \leq m} \sum_{0 < k \leq j} \frac{1}{N^{|k|}} \|D^{j-k} f\|_p \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

as $N \rightarrow 0$.

2. By the step 1^o we may consider $f(x) \in W^{m,p(x)}$ with compact support. Then we take $\mathcal{K}(y) \in C_0^\infty(R^n)$ with support in the ball B_1 and such that $\int_{|y| < 1} \mathcal{K}(y) dy = 1$ and arrange the approximation (36). Then, evidently, $f_\epsilon \in C_0^\infty(R^n)$. Indeed, for any j we have

$$D^j f_\epsilon(x) = \frac{1}{\epsilon^{n+|j|}} \int_{|y| < 1} (D^j \mathcal{K}) \left(\frac{x-t}{\epsilon} \right) f(t) dt \in C^\infty(R^n)$$

and $f_\epsilon(x)$ has compact support because $f_\epsilon(x) \equiv 0$ if $|x| > 1 + \lambda$, where $\lambda = \sup_{x \in \text{supp } f} |x|$, *supp* standing for support of $f(x)$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_\epsilon(x) - f\|_{W^{m,p(x)}} &\leq \sum_{|j| \leq m} \|D^j f - K_\epsilon(D^j f)\|_{L^p(x)(R^n)} \\ &= \sum_{|j| \leq m} \|D^j f - K_\epsilon(D^j f)\|_{L^p(x)(\Omega_1)} \end{aligned}$$

where $\Omega_1 = \{x : \text{dist}(x, \Omega) \leq 1\}$, $\Omega = \text{supp } f(x)$. It suffices to apply Theorem 2.

References

- 1 . Edmunds, D.E. and Rákosník, J. Density of smooth functions in $W^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A* (1992) **437**, 229-236.
- 2 . Kováčik, O. and Rákosník, J. On spaces $L^{p(x)}$ and $W^{k,p(x)}$. *Czech. Math. J.*, **41(116)**(1991), 592-618.
- 3 . Samko, S.G. Differentiation and integration of variable order and the spaces $L^{p(x)}$. *Proceed. of Intern. Conference "Operator Theory and Complex and Hypercomplex Analysis", 12-17 December 1994, Mexico City, Mexico, Contemp. Math.*, 1997
- 4 . Samko S.G. Convolution type operators in $L^{p(x)}$. Preliminary results. *Integr. Transf. Spec. Funct.*, 1997 (to appear).
- 5 . Samko S.G. Convolution and potential type operators in $L^{p(x)}$. *Integr. Transf. Spec. Funct.*, 1997 (to appear).
- 6 . Sharapudinov, I.I. On a topology of the space $L^{p(t)}([0, 1])$. *Matem. Zametki* **26**(1979), no 4, 613-632.

7 . Sharapudinov, I.I. On uniform boundedness in $L^p(p = p(x))$ of some families of convolution operators (in Russian). *Matem. Zametki*, **59** (1996), no. 2, 291-302.